United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,237	09/16/2003	Robert G. Dandrea	DIVA/144CIP1C1	3754
56015 7	7590 04/20/2006		EXAM	INER
	N & SHERIDAN, LLP/	DOAN, DUC T		
SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2188	
SHREWSBUR	Y, NJ 07702		DATE MAILED: 04/20/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10	/663,237	DANDREA ET AL	DANDREA ET AL.		
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit			
			c T. Doan	2188			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIO	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. tutory period will app will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may by and will expire SIX (6) Mo the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 April 2006</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 and 10 is/are pending in	the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>7 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) <u>rand y</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
.—	Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	·					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
-	o)						
/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			•				
Attachmen	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P'	FO 048'		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
-	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I	•		f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 10/663,237

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set for in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.1 14, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.1 14. Applicant's submission filed on 4/6/06 has been entered.

Claims 1-6 have been presented for examination in this application. In response to the last Office Action. Amendments were received on 3/12/06 and 4/6/06. Claims 1,4 have been amended. claims 7,9 were added, claims 8,10 were canceled. As a result, claims 1-7,9 are now pending in this application.

Claims 1-6 contain allowable subject matter.

Claims 7,9 are rejected.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,237

Art Unit: 2188

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 9,7 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6378036. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,237

Art Unit: 2188

queue, upon not assigning the access request to the new user queue and determining that with the other request queue selection all of the set of current steady-state queue requests will meet the predefined worst-case deadline, the otherrequest queue being for subscribers that are beginning to view a program; and assigning the access request to a steady-state queue, upon not assigning the access request to either the new user queue or the other-request queue and determining that with the steadystate queue selection all of the set of current steady-state queue will meet the predefined worst-case deadlines wherein the access requests in the steady-state queue are ordered by time deadline.

contains steady state access requests from users being supplied a program from the video server, a second queue contains new programming access requests and a third queue for all other types of access requests; selecting a next new programming access request, if such selection does not cause any steady-state access request to miss its associated time deadline within which that steady-state access request must be completed, to ensure that the program being viewed is not interrupted; otherwise, selecting a next other access request, if such selection does not cause any steady-state access request to miss its associated time deadline within which that steady-state access request must be completed, to ensure that the program being viewed is not interrupted; otherwise, selecting a steady-state access request with the earliest associated time deadline within which that steady-state access Application/Control Number: 10/663,237 Page 5

Art Unit: 2188

	request must be completed, to ensure that the	
	program being viewed is not interrupted; and	
	forwarding the selected request to a disk drive	
	of said plurality of disk drives that is	
	associated with the selected request.	
·		
	· ·	

Claim 7 of the instant application recites the limitations that are anticipated by claim 18 of the US Patent 6378036.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6 are allowable.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ma et al (US 5926649).

Agrawal et al (US 5530912).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,237

Art Unit: 2188

Page 6

When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-4171. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM 05:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DD

Mano Padmanabhan

Supervisory Patent Examiner

TC2188

MANO PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATÉNT EXAMINER